KINSELLA WEITZMAN ISER KUMP & ALDISERT LLP SANTA MONICA, CALFORNIA 90401 . 310.566.9800 • FAX 310.566.9850 808 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 3RD FLOOR

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

99911.00003/\$0529.1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

Plaintiff Roller Coaster Films, LLC ("Plaintiff" or "RCF") alleges as follows: JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This action arises under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seg., California Code of Civil Procedure § 527.6, California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq., and the common law of the State of California. Jurisdiction is based upon 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 and the principles of supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to § 1367(a).
- Venue in this Judicial District is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) in that (1) a defendant resides in this Judicial District and all defendants reside in California, (2) a substantial part of the events on which the claims are based occurred in this Judicial District, and (3) a substantial part of the property that is the subject of this action is located in this Judicial District.

#### INTRODUCTION

- This action seeks to enjoin egregious and blatant acts of copyright 3. infringement. A film director, retained to help create a motion picture as a work for hire for another, has hijacked the film and is holding it for ransom according to his unreasonable, irrational, and unlawful whims and demands.
- 4. Plaintiff Roller Coaster Films, LLC ("RCF" or "Plaintiff") hired Defendant Douglas Busby to co-write and direct a documentary about Congolese warlord Laurent Nkunda (the "Film"). Pursuant to a written and executed agreement between the parties, RCF is the sole and exclusive owner of the Film as a work made for hire. 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, 201(b). Busby, however, has refused to turn the Film and its underlying materials over to RCF, despite his contractual obligation to do so, has already made copies of the Film and submitted it to various film festivals, has created a promotional poster advertising the Film, has destroyed RCF's film equipment, is threatening to imminently distribute and exploit the Film nation-wide, and is demanding that the parties' written and executed contract be thrown out and recreated, with vastly larger sums of money paid to him. Worst of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

**17** 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

all, Busby has threatened RCF's principals (a husband and wife) with bodily harm and has attacked and injured the husband. His threats are so severe that RCF's principals have sought and obtained a restraining order against Busby in the Los Angeles County Superior Court enjoining Busby from personally harassing them.

#### THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff RCF is a limited liability company organized and existing 5. under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business located in the Sate of California.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant Busby is a resident of the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant Damascus Films is a legal entity of unknown type, which, as of the date of this Complaint, is not registered to do business in California as either a corporation or a limited liability company.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that at all 8. relevant times each defendant was the agent, employee, representative, and/or coconspirator of the other and in doing the acts herein alleged was acting in the course and scope of such agency, employment and co-conspiracy. Each defendant has ratified the conduct of his or its agents, employees and co-conspirators. Busby and Damascus Films are collectively referred to herein as "Defendants."

## ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION RCF Hires Busby to Direct the Film as a Work Made for Hire

- 9. In early 2008, RCF hired Busby as an independent contractor to serve as director, co-writer, and co-director of photography on the Film. RCF Member Tony Arbini served as executive producer, co-writer, and co-director of photography. From the outset of their working relationship, it was always understood and agreed that RCF would own all rights in and to the Film.
  - In March 2008, Busby and Arbini traveled to Africa for approximately 10.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

three weeks and shot much of the underlying footage for the Film. On April 20,
2008, Busby emailed Arbini a draft contract, entitled "Congo Documentary
Production Contract," to memorialize their agreement regarding the Film. The draft
contract stated that "[t]he Executive Producer [Arbini] expressly reserve[s] the
following rights: Ownership of the intellectual property rights" in and to the Film.
In this draft agreement, Busby sought 20% of the Film's net profits as compensation
for his work in connection with the Film. This draft agreement was never executed.

11. On or about December 2, 2008, Arbini sent Busby an agreement entitled "Consultant Agreement" memorializing the parties' agreement regarding the Film. Among other provisions, the Consultant Agreement confirms the parties' agreement that RCF is the sole owner of all rights in and to the Film and its underlying materials. In a provision entitled "Ownership of Work Product," the parties expressly agreed that

> [RCF] shall at all times be the sole and exclusive owner of both the physical embodiment and any intangible rights in and to all edits and work product (collectively, "Work Product") prepared by [Busby] in the performance of [Busby's] services under this Agreement (in all cases whether preliminary or final[)]. At any time during the course of this Agreement, at the conclusion of the work under this Agreement, or at the termination of this under this Agreement, or at the termination of this Agreement for any reason, [Busby] shall deliver any and all Work Product, to [RCF] at [RCF's] request regardless of the form such material is in at the time requested.

- Busby is entitled to 25% of the profits from the exploitation of the Film 12. under the Consultant Agreement (a 5% increase from the 20% he initially sought). Busby is entitled to no other consideration under the terms of the Consulting Agreement.
- 13. On the same day (December 2, 2008), Busby and Arbini executed a document entitled "Transmittal/Non Disclosure," pursuant to which RCF loaned Busby an Iomega external hard drive, serial number 62A8360F8F, for use in connection with the Film. (the "Hard Drive"). The Hard Drive contained the raw footage of the Film shot in Africa. The Transmittal/Non Disclosure provides:

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

The media content transferred to you is considered proprietary and of a sensitive nature. Its contents are considered as a working copy intended for your use on an at will basis. The property and the entirety of its contents are property of [RCF] and will be returned upon demand. Any modification, amendment or changes made to the content are also considered to be property of [RCF]. Upon receiving this material you agree to a Non Disclosure of its content inclusive of any future changes.... The contents and any changes to it are considered copyrighted by and for the sole privilege and use of [RCF].

14. On or about December 14, 2008, Busby confirmed his acceptance of the terms of the Consulting Agreement. The Consulting Agreement was executed by RCF and Busby on January 8, 2009.

## Despite the Parties' Agreement, Busby Begins Efforts to Control the Film and Distribute It as His Own

- 15. Between August 2008 and November 2009, Busby and Arbini made four subsequent trips to Africa to shoot additional footage for the Film as well as for another RCF film project about Ugandan warlord Joseph Kony. During these trips, however, they were never able to actually meet with Kony.
- During the first half of 2009, in between trips to Africa to film the Kony project, Busby and Arbini worked together to edit the raw footage they had compiled for the Film. By September 2009, however, their relationship began to sour as Busby grew unstable, hostile, and threatening toward Arbini. Nonetheless, Arbini continued to try to work productively with Busby in order to complete the Film.
- 17. In September 2009, Busby informed Arbini that, contrary to the Consulting Agreement and without Arbini's knowledge or authority, he had sent DVD copies of the (unfinished) Film to various distributors in order to seek their opinions on the Film. In addition, on September 22, 2009, Busby informed Arbini that he had submitted the Film to the Sundance Film Festival in Park City, Utah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## Busby Grows Irrational and Hostile and Refuses to Turn Over the Film Pursuant to the Parties' Agreement

- In October 2009, Busby grew increasingly irrational and antagonistic. 18. Whenever Arbini attempted to speak to him about the Film, he would become agitated and hostile. During this time, Busby sent Arbini numerous angry emails, text messages, and phone calls.
- 19. On October 23, 2009, Arbini sent Busby a text message requesting that he immediately cease work on the Film since RCF had run out of funds to produce it. Busby replied in a text message that he would not stop work or turn over the Film: "Fuck you. Come on down and get it. Try and fuck with my career and hard work. ... Hide behind all your lawyers and watch me finish this film and put it out. What are you gonna do sue me? Come on over anytime you fuckin coward."
- 20. Further in this text conversation, Busby confirmed his intent to keep the Film and distribute it on his own, in violation of the Consultant Agreement, threatening: "I'm working on it [the Film] right now. It's going in festi[v]als and i'm getting my 25 percent back. ... [S]tay the fuck out of my way."
- 21. That day (October 23, 2009), Arbini sent an email to Busby requesting, pursuant to the Transmittal/Non Disclosure, to retrieve the Hard Drive and all his files for the Film. Busby refused to turn over the Hard Drive and files.
- Around this time, Arbini became aware that Busby was abusing the 22. prescription medication Xanax, which was contributing to Busby's irrationality and hostility. Arbini sent Busby an email raising the Xanax issue and suggesting that they mediate their disputes in order complete the Film. Busby responded with hostility and threats and asserted that he would "continue [working on the Film] till this film is finished and released. This is a damn good film and the world will see it. Period." Further in the email exchange, Busby told Arbini to "SHUT THE FUCK UP!" and made it clear that he intended to complete and distribute the Film on his own without RCF. He threatened Arbini that "[r]ight now you are definitely my

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

enemy. ... I'm finishing this film. ... If I want your opinion I will ask for it. No questions. ... If you bring [Xanax] up in a conversation I will walk away or use [your] imagination o[r] any other combination of results."

## Busby and Arbini Return to Africa, But Busby Becomes More Violent and Irrational, Attacking Arbini and Destroying RCF Property

- Some time thereafter, Busby and Arbini met to discuss their issues 23. regarding the Film. At this meeting, Busby admitted his Xanax abuse and stated that he was now sober, attending Alcoholics Anonymous meetings, and seeking therapy for addiction. Based on this meeting and the parties' mutual desire to complete the Joseph Kony project, Busby and Arbini agreed to travel to Africa again in the hopes of obtaining an interview with Kony.
- Busby and Arbini traveled to Africa on or about November 5, 2009 to 24. complete the Kony project. During this trip, Busby became so unstable, uncooperative, and violent that he was fired from the Kony project. Among other transgressions and violent behavior on this trip, Busby purchased and abused generic Xanax; attempted to purchase a handgun (which is illegal in Kenya); repeatedly threatened Arbini, their guide, and their crew with violence and death; refused to attend project meetings, including a meeting with their local contact who was coordinating the visit and helping gain access to Kony; physically attacked Arbini, injuring him; barricaded himself in his hotel room and set fire to RCF's main camera, damaging it and rendering it inoperable; set fire to his hotel room; and refused to depart when fired from the project and asked to leave. Busby had to be subdued by hotel security, placed in a taxi, taken to the airport, and guarded until he was placed on a flight home. As a result, on November 13, 2009, Audra Arbini sent Busby a letter by certified mail detailing these events and confirming his termination from the Kony project. Busby refused to acknowledge receipt of this letter.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## Busby Makes It Clear That He Is Treating the Film as His Own and Planning to Copy and Distribute It

- 25. Approximately one week later, on or about November 20, 2009, Busby tried to telephone Arbini. Arbini did not answer his calls but instead sent him an email asking him to refrain from contacting him and requesting that he return a small video camera belonging to RCF and that he provide Arbini with a copy of the Film. Busby replied that he was completing the Film without RCF's or Arbini's involvement, writing, "I'll give you a copy of the film when it's finished and we'll honor our contract. Don't contact me again. I'll contact you."
- 26. In early December 2009, Busby emailed and texted Arbini requesting "peace." Arbini responded with steps necessary for "peace," including Busby repaying RCF for the damage he caused to RCF's equipment; immediately returning the Hard Drive and accompanying materials, destroying any other copies of the Film or hard drives containing the Film and materials, and turning over all his records. notes, and emails regarding the Film; and apologizing for his behavior in Africa. Arbini advised Busby to "not make any more business contacts, decisions, edits or changes regarding [the Film] without my prior written approval including festival showings- If distributors or other interested parties contact you about [the Film] please explain that you are the minority partner in the [F]ilm and you have no authority to make business decisions and refer them to me directly."
- 27. Busby responded with an insulting and dismissive email, requesting immediate payment in contravention of the Consulting Agreement and promising that he would "move ahead on all fronts to show this fine film and to recoup [his] investment of time and expertise."
- 28. On January 9, 2010, Arbini sent Busby another email outlining RCF's requests—that Busby return the Hard Drive and all Film materials and cease engaging in efforts to distribute the Film or show it at festivals. Once again, he invited Busby to identify a neutral third party to help resolve their disputes, but

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Busby refused to suggest one. On January 14, 2010, Busby sent Arbini a text message saying "Just about finished. It's a great film. Unbelievable support. I'll get your money back. And yes you'll be involved."

#### Busby's Latest Threats and Unstable Behavior

- 29. On January 27, 2010, Arbini was contacted by a distribution company requesting a copy of the Film. Since he did not have a copy of the Film (because Busby refused to turn any over), Arbini contacted Busby to again request a copy. Busby replied via email requesting either an entirely new contract, or up to \$150,000 in cash, in order to move forward.
- 30. On February 11, 2010, Busby informed Arbini that the Film was completed, that he had taken on partners in the film (without RCF's knowledge or authority), that the Film had been shown in various film festivals, that a promotional poster for the Film was complete, and that he had ordered DVD prints of the Film and expected to receive them soon. He also told Arbini that he had proposed "new terms" for his engagement on the Film.
- 31. Later that day (February 11), Busby called Arbini again. On this call. Busby was rambling and delusional, and his statements made Arbini fear for his safety and that of his family. Busby claimed to Arbini that, for the past year, including during their last trip to Africa, Busby had been working as an agent for the United States government. He claimed that he was ordered by the government to do the acts he committed during the trip to Africa, including "faking" a Xanax overdose. He claimed that he was ordered to stop filming on the Kony project, to burn the cameras and tapes, and to "stop the mission at all costs." He warned Arbini, as an "agent of the government," to not discuss any details of the Kony project, the Film, or their trips to Africa with anyone, as they were "matters of national security." Arbini asked Busby which branch or department of the government he was working for, and Busby replied that it was "classified." He stated that Arbini and his family were being monitored at all times, as were all

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

Arbini's communications. He also stated that Arbini was "ordered" to never contact their host and guide in Kenya again. This conversation understandably made Arbini fearful for his and his family's safety.

- At the end of this conversation, Busby offered to send Arbini a copy of 32. the completed Film, along with his new proposed contract terms. Busby claimed that he could not discuss many things with Arbini because they were "confidential" and that he would not disclose these things unless and until RCF signed a new agreement with him regarding the Film.
- The next day, February 12, 2010, Busby emailed Arbini his proposed 33. new terms for a new agreement regarding the Film. His cover email to Arbini warned that "[t]his is between you and I but the army that stands behind me is endless." Busby's proposed new terms included a \$30,000 payment and 40% of the Film's gross profits. The proposal concluded with the statement "March you do not want to miss." This statement can only mean that Busby intends to distribute or otherwise exploit the Film in March 2010 by attempting to license or otherwise transfer it to distributors and exhibit it in festivals, and that RCF must accede to his new terms if it hopes to share in this exploitation of RCF's work. This is a clear indication of Busby's cavalier disregard for the Consulting Agreement and for RCF's copyright in the Film.
- 34. On February 14, 2010, Busby delivered a copy of the Film and a promotional poster for the Film to the guard at the front gate of the Arbinis' housing development in Valencia, California. Shocked that Busby had come to their home, and fearful for their safety, the Arbini's were forced to spend that night in a hotel.
- On February 16, 2010, Busby emailed Arbini a link to a film festival 35. that the Film has been entered in. He also wrote that he had received the DVD prints of the Film.
- 36. On February 24, 2010, Busby emailed Arbini again, writing "Our film is now surround sound. Finished and paid for it today. I'm takin in ALL THE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

**27** |

28

WAY Tony. Better get on the train. Lot's [sic] goin on." Busby's unauthorized distribution of the Film is clearly imminent.

## The Arbinis Seek a Harassment Injunction Against Busby in Los Angeles **County Superior Court**

37. Based on Busby's threats to the Arbini family, they sought an injunction in Los Angeles Superior Court on February 24, 2010 enjoining Busby from harassing them and commanding him to stay 100 yards away from them at all times. The Court denied the TRO application but set a hearing on a permanent injunction for March 26, 2010.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Copyright Infringement)

- 38. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-37 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- Pursuant to the terms of Consulting Agreement, Plaintiff is the sole 39. author and copyright owner of the Film as a "work made for hire." 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 201(b). Plaintiff filed a registration for copyright in the Film with the United States Copyright Office on February 23, 2010.
- Defendants are copying, distributing, exploiting, and attempting to 40. copy, distribute, and exploit Plaintiff's copyrighted work without Plaintiff's consent or authorization. Defendants' actions as alleged herein infringe and will continue to infringe Plaintiff's copyright in the Film.
- 41. As a result of Defendants' copyright infringement as alleged above. Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer injury and damage in an amount to be determined at trial. Further, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon allege, that Defendants have received or will receive profits, gains, or other benefits from their infringing activities, all of which should be disgorged to Plaintiff. Alternatively, Plaintiff reserves the right to seek statutory damages for Defendants' intentional infringement of its copyrighted work.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

42. Defendants' copyright infringement has caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Plaintiff which cannot be fully compensated by monetary damages. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief preventing Defendants from continuing to infringe Plaintiff's copyrighted work.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unfair Competition and Unfair Business Practices)

- Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations 43. contained in paragraphs 1-42 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- Defendants have committed unlawful, unfair, and deceptive acts, and 44. have engaged in unfair competition and unfair business practices, in violation of California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants, in doing the things herein alleged, have misled or substantially confused the public that they are the owners of the copyright in the Film.
- 45. Unless and until enjoined and restrained by Order of this Court. Defendants' continued actions will cause Plaintiff irreparable injury which cannot adequately be compensated by money damages. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to a temporary restraining order and preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting Defendants from distributing or exploiting the Film.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Specific Recovery of Personal Property)

- Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference the allegations 46. contained in paragraphs 1-45 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- Plaintiff is the owner of certain personal property that Defendants 47. obtained through transmittal from Plaintiff. Plaintiff has a right to possess such property.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 48. The property in Defendants' possession includes the Film, any and all versions of the Film, any and all footage shot in connection with the Film, any and all other material related to the Film, and the Hard Drive (collectively, the "Property").
- 49. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants know that the Property contains and/or embodies Plaintiff's copyrighted work.
- 50. Plaintiff has demanded the return of the Property. Defendants have refused to return the Property.
- 51. Defendants' continued possession of the Property is wrongful in that the only value in the Property to Defendants lies in the illegal exploitation of Plaintiff's copyrighted work.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

#### AS TO THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 1. For a temporary restraining order and preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting Defendants and all those working for or in conjunction with each of them from directly or indirectly causing or permitting the reproduction, public display, public distribution, or copying of the Film;
  - For compensatory damages in an amount according to proof; 2.
- For an award of Defendants' ill-gotten profits, gains, or other benefits 3. from their infringing activities;
  - 4. For an award of statutory damages in accordance with 17 U.S.C. § 504.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- For a temporary restraining order and preliminary and permanent 5. injunction prohibiting Defendants and all those working for or in conjunction with each of them from directly or indirectly causing or permitting the public display, dissemination, distribution, exploitation, or sale of the Film; and
- For an award of Defendants' ill-gotten profits, gains, or other benefits 6. from their distribution and/or exploitation of the Film.

#### AS TO THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

For the permanent return to Plaintiff of its personal property presently 7. under the custody and control of Defendants, including but not limited to the Film, any and all versions of the Film, any and all footage shot in connection with the Film, and the Hard Drive.

DATED: March 1, 2010

WEITZMAN ISER KUMP & ALDISERT LLP

By:

Lawrence Y. Iser

Attorneys for Plaintiff Roller Coaster

Films, LLC

28

## Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all causes of action triable by jury.

**DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY** 

DATED: March 1, 2010

KINSELLA WELTZMAN IŞER KUMP &

ALDISERT LLP

By:

Lawrence Y. Iser

Attorneys for Plaintiff Roller Coaster

Films, LLC

808 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 3RD FLOOR SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA 90401 TEL 310.566.9800 • FAX 310.566.9850

99911.00003/50529.1

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Consuelo B. Marshall and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Paul Abrams.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV10- 1508 CBM (PLAx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge
NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

# Case 2:10-cv-01508-DSF-P-R Power 12 Filed 03/01/10 Page 17 of 19

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
ROLLER COASTER FILMS, LLC, a Callfornia limited liability company,	CASE NUMBER CV 10-01508 DSF (PLAX)			
PLAINTIFF(S) V.				
DOUGLAS BUSBY, an individual; and DAMASCUS FILMS, an unknown entity;	summons			
DEPENDANT(S).				
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached x	nons on you (not counting the day you received it), you complaint amended complaint amended complaint and Chad R. Fitzgerald, whose address is If you fail to do so,			
Dated: <u>03-02-2000</u> [Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United State 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	Clerk, U.S. District Court  By: Deputy Clerk  (Seal of the Court)  as agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States, Allowed			
CV-01A (12/07) SUMN	10N8 GCD-1A			

## Case 2:10-cv-01508-DSF-PLA Document 1 Filed 03/01/10 Page 18 of 19 UNITED STATE ISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT CALIFORNIA

CIVIL COVER SHEET

01110 0071	A CALLED
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself )	DEFENDANTS
Roller Coaster Films, LLC	Douglas Busby; Damascus Films
	- •
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing	Attorneys (If Known)
yourself, provide same.) Lawrence Y. Iser	Unknown
Kinsella Weitzman Iser Kump & Aldisert	Unknown
808 Wilshire Blvd.	
Third Floor	
Santa Monica, CA 90401	
310-566-9800	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CI	FIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only ace an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)
<u></u>	PTF DEF PTF DEF
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	This State
	of Business in this State
2 U.S. Government Defendant	Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 of Business in Another State
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6
	Country
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)	
X 1 Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened	— Toppear to Bishiot
Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened	(specify): District Judge from Litigation Magistrate Judge
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: X Yes No (	Check 'Yes' only if demanded in complaint,)
	. ,
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: Yes X No	MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and	write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.)
Copyright Infringement (17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et	seq.)
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)	
OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT TORTS	TORTS PRISONER LABOR
400 State Reapportionment 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJUR	V. PERSONAL PETITIONS
410 Antitrust 120 Marine 310 Airplane	PROPERTY 510 Motions to 710 Fair Labor Standards Act
430 Banks and Banking 130 Miller Act 315 Airplane Produ	_ 1 <del> </del>
450 Commerce/ICC	& 380 Other Personal 530 General 730 Labor/Mgmt.
460 Deportation Overpayment & Slander	Property Damage 535 Death Penalty Reporting & Disclosure Act
470 Racketeer Influenced Enforcement of Judgment Liability	385 Property Damage Product Liability Other 740 Railway Labor Act
Organizations15! Medicare Act340 Marine	C SSO Civil District
480 Consumer Credit 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability Student Loan (Excl.	422 Appeal 28 USC 555 Prison Condition Litigation
810 Selective Service Veterans) 350 Motor Vehicle	158 FORFEITURE 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.
850 Securities/Commodities/ 153 Recovery of Product Liabili Exchange Overpayment of	ty USC 157 610 Agriculture PROPERTY RIGHTS
Veteran's Benefits	GIVIERIGHTS 620 Other Food & X 820 Copyrights
USC 3410 Los Out of Stockholders' Suits 362 Personal Injury	
890 Other Statutory Actions   190 Other Contract   Med Malpracti	ce 443 Housing/Acco- Seizure of SOCIAL SECURITY
892 Economic Stabilization Liability Product Liability	
Act 368 Asbestos Personal Serviconmental Matters REAL PROPERTY Injury Product	onal 445 American with 630 Liquor Laws 863 DIWC/DIWW
893 Environmental Matters   September 1979   Injury Product   September 210 Land Condemnation   Liability	Disabilities - 640 R.R. & Truck (405(g)) Employment 650 Airline Regs 864 SSID Title XVI
895 Freedom of Info. Act 220 Foreclosure	446 American with 660 Occupational 865 RSI (405(g))
900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 462 Naturalization Application	Disabilities - Safety/Health FEDERALTAX SUTS Other Safety/Health FEDERALTAX SUTS
Access to Justice 245 Tort Product Liability 463 Habeas Corpus	440 Other Civil Plaintiff or
950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 290 All Other Real Property Alien Detained 465 Other Immigra	
Actions Actions	tion

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

## Case 2:110 cyp1508-DSFRICT CODRECTED TRAL FILE COLOR SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES If yes, list case number(s):	S: Has this action b	neen previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? X No Yes
· · · · · · -	Have any cases be	en previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? No Yes
If yes, list case number(s):		
Civil cases are deemed related (Check all boxes that apply)	A. Arise f B. Call fo C. For oth	ed case and the present case:  from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or  r determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or  ner reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or  e the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.
IX. VENUE: (When completing	ng the following info	ormation, use an additional sheet if necessary.)
·	•	nty outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. or employees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).
County in this District:*		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
Los Angeles		
` <u></u> -	•	nty outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides, or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).
County in this District:* Los Angeles		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
Note: In land condemn		nty outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.  e location of the tract of land involved.
County in this District:*		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
Los Angeles		
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Be Note: In land condemnation case		le, Ventura, San a Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties of the tract of land involved
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNS	EY (OR PRO PER)	Lawrence Y. Iser
or other papers as required by	law. This form, ap	Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings proved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed lose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)
Key to Statistical codes relating (	to Social Security C	ases:
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	ніа	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
<b>8</b> 65	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2